1954

Aug. 20

Mr. Lawton B. Chandler, Secretary State of Hew Hampshire Tax Commission State House Concord, New Hampshire

Dear Siri

Under date of July 9. 1954 you have brought to our attention the matter of the taxatility of certain motor vehicles owned by the Hugent Motor Company of Colebrook, and you have asked our opinion thereon.

vahicles under consideration are carried by the Company in its Company and Service Car Account; the vahicles are not deemed part of the Company's inventory. The motor cars are used by employees and officials in the business of the Company; they are not currently for sale. However, they will ultimately be "turned in" and replaced by new vehicles. They are not, further, used as demonstrators.

Whether or not, despite accounting practices, the vehicles are so held as to be part of the Company's stock-in-trade is a matter of fact. If the facts be as represented by the Company, the vehicles are properly to be deemed not part of its stock-in-trade, R.L. c. 73, s. 16, subsec. I; Hood-worth v. Concord. 78 N.H. 54, and hence are not subject to the general property tax, see also R.L. c. 73, s. 16, subsec. III.

Vehicles not subject to the general property tex as stock-in-trade may be operated under "dealers plates" (R.L. c. 115. ss. 45-53) without there having been secured with respect to the same the municipal permit for registration (R.L. c. 116. ss. vith respect to the same the municipal permit for registration in the first instance. 16-24). In this regard we would state that such question is, in the first instance, one for the administrative decision of the Commissioner of Notor Vehicles.

Your file is returned.

Very truly yours.

NEW HAMPSHIRE LAW LIBRAGE

Marren E. Waters Deputy Attorney General SEP 2 2 1998

CONCORD, N.H.

ਬਦਸ਼/ਰ co: Fraderick N. Clarke